

Rhonda West

From: Barb Puddifant
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2023 1:35 PM
To: Rhonda West; Melinda Smyrl
Subject: FW: [External] DP-446

From: Kevin Pearson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2023 1:31 PM
To: Barb Puddifant <bpuddifant@salmonarm.ca>
Subject: [External] DP-446

Mayor & Council

I have resided in [REDACTED] Shuswap Street for 14 months. This unit was in high demand and I am fortunate to have secured a tenancy. Having said that, my opinion on this proposal stands.

The owners development proposal for the new build - 4 units + 2 - is consistent with the guidelines of the OCP and the Zoning Bylaw.

Last year, the owners adjusted the building design to better meet the Residential Development Permit Guidelines.

The owners based their site planning on two existing accesses, which makes practical sense based on the existing and future development, traffic flows and parking arrangement. Although the single access/egress rule also makes sense, in this situation it does not.

In terms of servicing, the items requested to be waived are not like or safety issues. But they are expensive. Enough to push the envelope over to not build more rental units. The owners have been up front with their pro forma. It is tight.

Shuswap Street is a DCC Road. Look at Bylaw No. 3600. Road funds from DCCs and Capital Works Budgeting could be allocated to future works and upgrades.

Thank you

Kevin Pearson