

**From:** SUZI KIEGERL <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 11, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Barb Puddifant <[bpuddifant@salmonarm.ca](mailto:bpuddifant@salmonarm.ca)>  
**Subject:** [External] Development Variance Permit No. VP-541

**\*ADDENDUM\*\*** I would like to add my concerns that if the road improvements from Part I are **waived**, that any **future** applications or change in property ownership would thereby be **not** included in this **precedence if waiver is granted**. And /or if the Dobie property would be sold or put under new ownership and / with the inclusion of the secondary property any road improvements addressed at that time would be the responsibility of the current owner (of Dobie property). I also stand firm on **not** having any of my property, (791- 60 st nw) used for future road improvements.

Re: Part I

- and / or if considering the waiver does pass, would other properties within the same zoning district be granted this same waiver of incurred costs with similar re-zoning applications.

I apologize for the addendum as I must not have saved correctly prior to sending original.  
Thank you kindly again,  
S.Kiegerl

Regarding the Applicant's request, Part II.

- I am not in agreement with reducing the road classification of 8 Avenue NW to a 'private drive'
- I am not in agreement with being responsible for road maintenance and/or loss of services for 8 Avenue NW

I have concerns of unforeseen circumstances which would impede and impact the care of 8 Ave NW. Any kind of relationship issue between the three parties could/ will have a negative outcome for shared responsibilities and costs. There is always the probability properties can be sold. This would mean having to apply for city maintenance to return service back to 8 Ave. Myself and my partner cannot commit to being available for road clearing eg: winter time snow removal. Myself and my partner also have very old equipment which is unreliable, nor do we have immediate funds to do urgent repairs to either equipment or to pay a third party to accommodate our 'commitment' to road maintenance. We both work full time and the lag time between a road issue and when we would be available for attending to whatever needs to be done, would be problematic.

I support the fact Jodie and Susan Dobie want their son James to have a home on their property. We enjoy them as neighbors, they are fine people. I unfortunately cannot agree to Part II of this application as there are too many concerns which may seem trivial, but have the potential to escalate and make our living situation difficult. The complete release of 8 Ave NW from city services and by laws does not address problems which could arise for the future. If

our relationship with the Dobies deteriorates or they move, we would be left with the responsibility of the maintenance. Therefore, I do not agree with the application .

Thank you kindly for this opportunity,  
Suzanne Kiegerl

